

DATE MAILEO: 05/28/2004

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/724,485	11/28/2003	Robert Bond	44046.203.15.2	1037	
7590 05/28/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John F. Dolan			TURNER, ARCHENE A		
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4000 Pillsbury Center			AKI CINI	PAPER ACHIELE	
200 South Sixth Street			1775		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				r	
<u>. ۴</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/724,485	BOND ET AL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
i		Archene Turner	1775		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress	
THE - Exte efter - If the - If NO - Fasts - Any	ORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (8) MONTH'S tern the meiling date of this communication, paried for reply appointed theory is less than thifty grant for reply appointed theory is easiered at each amanum relation period for apply and period by the Office lister than distingtion. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	38(a). In no avent, however, may a raply be to within the atabotory minimum of thirty (30) day at apply and will aspire SIX (8) MONTHS from cause the application to become ASAADONI	maly filed as will be considered time to the mailing date of this of ID (25 U.S.C. § 133).	ly, communication.	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	ovember 2003.			
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under &	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 19-37 Is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) aco	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attec	hmant(s)
1) 🗵	Notice of References Cited (F
2) [Notice of Graftsperson's Pate
3) [Information Disclosure States
	Paper No/sVMail Oate .

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/724,485

Art Unit: 1775

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 126.
 - Claims 19-37, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 432.
- 2. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as daimed can be used to make other and materialty different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materialty different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different method such as premaking the coaling them attaching it to the substrate via another layer.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have
 acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their divergent subject matter,
 different classification and search, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is
 proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Dolan on 5-26-04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 19-37. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,485
Art Unit: 1775

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The nonstautory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper limewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See in re Goodman, 11 F.3d. 1046, 29 USPO2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993), In re Long), 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPO 645 Cir. 1985); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPO 616 (CCPA 1970), and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 523, 458 USPO 6416 (CCPA 1980).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. Sea 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

7. Claims 19-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6.673.438 in view of Miyazaki et al (5.413,864) or Oyama et al (4.695,53) or Finley et al (4.898,789). Bond et al discloses the claimed protective layer for low e coatings, except the low E only has one silver layer. Miyazaki et al or Oyama et al or Finley et al discloses low E coatings with one than one silver layer. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the protective layer of Bond et al on

low E coatings with more than one silver layer as shown by Miyazaki et al or Oyama et al or Finley et al.

- 8. Ciaims 19-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,834,103 in view of Miyazaki et al (5,413,864) or Oyama et al (4,859,53) or Finley et al (4,898,789). Bond et al discloses the claimed protective layer for low e coatings, except the low E only has one silver layer. Miyazaki et al or Oyama et al or Finley et al discloses low E coatings with one than one silver layer. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the protective layer of Bond et al on low E coatings with more than one silver layer as shown by Miyazaki et al or Oyama et al or Finley et al.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Archene Tumer whose new telephone number is (571) 272-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday, and Finday from 10:30 am. to 6:00 cm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Please remember to include on the fax, the art unit 1775, serial number and Examiner's name. Application/Control Number: 10/724,485
Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 868-217-9197 (tol-if-ree).

> A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700